# Constructing the free orthomodular poset over an orthoposet

#### Peter Eliaš

Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovakia

#### Motivation

Denote BPI the category of bounded posets with an involution, and OMP the category of orthomodular posets.

# Theorem (Jenča, 2022)

The forgetful functor from the category OMP into the category BPI has a monadic left adjoint functor.

The proof of the above theorem is *non-constructive*. Our aim is to describe this adjoint functor in an *explicit* way.

▶ Jenča, G., Orthomodular posets are algebras over bounded posets with involution, Soft Computing 26 (2022), 491–498.

# Categories and functors

- Category is a collection of objects and morphisms (transformations of objects). Morphisms can be composed.
- ▶ Functor from a category  $\mathcal C$  into a category  $\mathcal D$  maps objects/morphisms of  $\mathcal C$  into objects/morphisms of  $\mathcal D$  so that this mapping plays well with the definitions of both categories.

# Bounded posets with an involution

Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a poset. An unary operation  $': P \to P$  is an involution if

- 1. (x')' = x for all  $x \in P$ ,
- 2.  $x \le y$  iff  $y' \le x'$  for all  $x, y \in P$ .

A structure  $(P, \leq, ', 0, 1)$  is a bounded poset with an involution (BPI) if  $(P, \leq)$  is a poset with involution ', bottom element 0, and top element 1.

Let P, Q be BPIs. A map  $f: P \rightarrow Q$  is a BPI-morphism if

- 1.  $x \le y \Rightarrow f(x) \le f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in P$ ,
- 2. f(x') = (f(x))' for all  $x \in P$ ,
- 3. f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1.

Denote BPI the category where objects are bounded posets with an involution and morphisms are BPI-morphisms.

# Orthoposets and orthomodular posets

An orthoposet (OP) is a BPI P such that  $x \wedge x' = 0$  for all  $x \in P$ .

Denote OP the category where objects are orthoposets and morphisms are BPI-morphisms.

An orthomodular poset (OMP) is an OP P such that

- 1. for all  $x, y \in P$ , if  $x \le y'$  then  $x \lor y$  exists in P,
- 2. for all  $x, y \in P$ , if  $x \le y'$  then  $x \lor (x \lor y)' = y$ .

Let P,Q be OMPs. A map  $f\colon P\to Q$  is an OMP-morphism if it is a BPI-morphism and

for all 
$$x, y \in P$$
, if  $x \le y'$  then  $f(x \lor y) = f(x) \lor f(y)$ .

Denote  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{OMP}}$  the category where objects are OMPs and morphisms are OMP-morphisms.

## Examples of orthomodular posets

- Every Boolean algebra is an OMP.
- ▶ There exist OMPs which are not Boolean algebras.
- ▶ There exist OMPs which are not lattices.
- ▶ There exist OMPs which are non-distributive lattices.
- Every OMP can be obtained as a pasting of Boolean algebras.

## Natural transformations and adjunctions

Let  $\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}$  be categories and let  $F\colon \mathcal{C}\longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ ,  $G\colon \mathcal{C}\longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be functors. A natural transformation  $\alpha\colon F\Longrightarrow G$  maps every object x of the category  $\mathcal{C}$  into a morphism  $\alpha_x$  of the category  $\mathcal{D}$  so that it *plays well* with both functors. Namely, for every morphism  $f\colon X\to Y$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , the following holds in  $\mathcal{D}$ :



Let  $\mathcal{C},\mathcal{D}$  be categories and let  $F:\mathcal{C}\longrightarrow\mathcal{D},\ G:\mathcal{D}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}$  be functors. An adjunction  $F\dashv G$  is a pair of natural transformations  $\eta\colon 1_{\mathcal{C}}\Longrightarrow GF$ ,  $\varepsilon\colon FG\Longrightarrow 1_{\mathcal{D}}$ .

## Forgetful and free functors

An object/morphism in category OMP can be viewed as an object/morphism in category BPI.

This can be expressed as a functor  $U \colon \mathsf{OMP} \longrightarrow \mathsf{BPI}$ , defined by UP = P and Uf = f, for every OMP P and every OMP-morphism f.

Such functor U is called "forgetful" since it forgets some structure or properties. If there exists an adjunction  $F \dashv U$  then functor F is called "free".

Our aim is to construct the free functor  $F : BPI \longrightarrow OMP$ .

We will do it in two steps. We construct free functors  $F_1 \colon \mathsf{BPI} \longrightarrow \mathsf{OP},$   $F_2 \colon \mathsf{OP} \longrightarrow \mathsf{OMP},$  and then we take  $F = F_2 F_1.$ 

### From BPIs to OPs

Let P be a BPI. Define a function  $f_P \colon P \to P$  by

$$f_P(x) = egin{cases} 0 & x \leq x' \ 1 & x' \leq x \ x & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Denote  $P^* = f_P[P]$ . Then  $P^*$  is an OP and  $f_P \colon P \to P^*$  is a BPI-morphism.

#### **Theorem**

For every OP Q and every BPI-morphism  $g: P \to Q$  there exists a unique OP-morphism  $h: P^* \to Q$  such that  $g = h \circ f_P$ .



This is sufficient to define the free functor  $F_1: BPI \longrightarrow OP$ .

Namely,  $F_1P=P^*$  for every BPI P,  $F_1f=f_Q\circ f\circ i_P$  for every BPI-morphism  $f\colon P\to Q$ , where  $i_P\colon P^*\to P$  is the inclusion map.

Let P be an OP.

Denote  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}$  the language consisting of an unary operation symbol ', a binary operation symbol +, and a constant  $c_x$  for every element  $x \in P$ .

Denote  $\mathcal{T}_P$  the set of all terms in the language  $\mathcal{L}_P$ .

Given an OMP Q and a BPI-morphism  $f\colon P\to Q$ , let  $\mathsf{val}_f$  be a function with the smallest domain from a subset of  $\mathcal{T}_P$  into Q such that:

- 1.  $\operatorname{val}_f(c_x) = f(x)$ , for every  $x \in P$ ,
- 2.  $\operatorname{val}_f(\tau') = \operatorname{val}_f(\tau)'$ , for every  $\tau \in \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{val}_f)$ ,
- 3.  $\operatorname{val}_f(\tau + \sigma) = \operatorname{val}_f(\tau) \vee \operatorname{val}_f(\sigma)$ , for every  $\tau, \sigma \in \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{val}_f)$  such that  $\operatorname{val}_f(\tau) \leq \operatorname{val}_f(\sigma)'$ .

We say that a term  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_P$  is well-formed if  $\tau \in \text{dom}(\text{val}_f)$  for every OMP Q and every BPI-morphism  $f \colon P \to Q$ . Denote  $\mathcal{W}_P$  the set of all well-formed terms  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_P$ .

For  $\tau, \sigma \in \mathcal{W}_P$ , let  $\tau \leq \sigma$  iff  $\operatorname{val}_f(\tau) \leq \operatorname{val}_f(\sigma)$  holds for every OMP Q and every BPI-morphism  $f \colon P \to Q$ .

Let  $\tau \approx \sigma$  if  $\tau \preceq \sigma$  and  $\sigma \preceq \tau$ . Then  $\preceq$  is a preorder and  $\approx$  is an equivalence relation.

Let  $\mathcal{F}_P$  be the quotient  $\mathcal{W}_P/\approx$ , that is, the elements of  $\mathcal{F}_P$  are the equivalence classes  $[\tau]_\approx = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_P \colon \tau \approx \sigma\}$ . The set  $\mathcal{F}_P$  is partially ordered by the relation  $\leq$  where  $[\tau]_\approx \leq [\sigma]_\approx$  iff  $\tau \leq \sigma$ .

#### **Fact**

Let  $\tau, \sigma \in \mathcal{W}_P$ .

- 1.  $\tau \approx \sigma$  iff  $\tau' \approx \sigma'$ ,
- 2. if  $\tau \preceq \sigma'$  then  $\tau + \sigma \in \mathcal{W}_P$  and  $[\tau + \sigma]_{\approx} = [\tau]_{\approx} \vee [\sigma]_{\approx}$ .

This allows us to define  $([\tau]_{\approx})' = [\tau']_{\approx}$ . Denote  $\mathbf{0} = [0]_{\approx}$ ,  $\mathbf{1} = [1]_{\approx}$ .

#### Fact

- 1. The structure  $P^* = (\mathcal{F}_P, \leq, ', \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$  is an OMP.
- 2. Mapping  $f_P \colon P \to P^*$ , defined by  $f_P(x) = [c_x]_{\approx}$  for all  $x \in P$ , is a BPI-morphism.

#### **Theorem**

For every OMP Q and for every BPI-morphism  $g: P \to Q$  there exists a unique OMP-morphism  $h: P^* \to Q$  such that  $g = h \circ f_P$ .

This is again sufficient to define the free functor  $F_2: \mathsf{OP} \to \mathsf{OMP}$ .

#### **Problems**

- 1. Characterize terms  $\tau \in \mathcal{W}_P$ .
- 2. For  $\tau, \sigma \in \mathcal{W}_P$ , characterize  $\tau \leq \sigma$ .

### Conjecture

Let P be an OP. Then for every  $\tau \in \mathcal{W}_P$  there exists  $\sigma \in \mathcal{W}_P$  such that  $\tau \approx \sigma$  and for every  $x \in P$ , constant  $c_x$  occurs in  $\sigma$  at most once.

### Conjecture

Let P be an OP. Then  $\tau \leq \sigma$  iff for every BPI-morphism  $f: P \to \{0,1\}$ ,  $\mathsf{val}_f(\tau) \leq \mathsf{val}_f(\sigma)$ .